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#### Abstract

In this work, I introduce a new version of Bishop frame using a common vector field as binormal vector field of a regular curve and call this frame as "Type-2 Bishop frame in $E_{1}^{3}$ ". Thereafter, by translating type-2 Bishop frame vectors to $O$ the center of Lorentzian sphere of three-dimensional Minkowski space, I introduce new spherical images and call them as type-2 Bishop spherical images in $E_{1}^{3}$. Serret-Frenet apparatus of these new spherical images are obtained in terms of base curves's type-2 Bishop invariants. Additionally, I express some interesting theorems and illustrate one example of our main results.
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## 1 Introduction

Bishop frame, which is also called alternative or parallel frame of the curves, was introduced by L.R:Bishop in 1975 by means of parallel vector fields. Recently, many research papers related to this concept have been treated in Minkowski space, see $[1,2,3,7,8]$. And recently, this special frame is extended to study of canal and tubular surfaces, we refer to [7].

In this work, using common vector field as the binormal vector of Serret-Frenet frame, I introduce a new version of the Bishop frame in $E_{1}^{3}$.I call it is "Type-2 Bishop frame" of regular curves. Thereafter, translating new frames vector fields to the center of unit sphere, I obtain new spherical images. We call them as "Type-2 Bishop Spherical Image" of regular curves.

## 2 Preliminaries

The Minkowski three dimensional space $E_{1}^{3}$ is a real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ endowed with the standard flat Lorentzian metric given by $<,>_{L}=-d x_{1}^{2}+d x_{2}^{2}+d x_{3}^{2}$ where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is rectangular coordinate system of $E_{1}^{3}$. Since $g$ is an indefinite metric. Let $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ and $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ be arbitrary an vectors in $E_{1}^{3}$, the Lorentzian cross product of $u$ and $v$ defined by

$$
u \times v=-\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-i & j & k \\
u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} \\
v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

[^0]Recall that a vector $v \in E_{1}^{3}$ can have one of three Lorentzian characters: it can be spacelike if $g(v, v)>0$ or $v=0$; timelike if $g(v, v)<0$ and null(lightlike) if $g(v, v)=0$ for $v \neq 0$. Similarly, an arbitrary curve $\delta=\delta(s)$ in $E_{1}^{3}$ can locally be spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike) if all of its velocity vector $\delta^{\prime}$ are respectively spacelike, timelike, or null (lightlike), for every $s \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$. The pseudo-norm of an arbitrary vector $a \in E_{1}^{3}$ is given by $\|a\|=\sqrt{|g(a, a)|}$. The curve $\delta=\delta(s)$ is called a unit speed curve if velocity vector $\delta^{\prime}$ is unit i.e, $\left\|\delta^{\prime}\right\|=1$. For vectors $v, w \in E_{1}^{3}$ it is said to be orthogonal if and only if $g(v, w)=0$. Denote by $\{T, N, B\}$ the moving Serret-Frenet frame along the curve $\delta=\delta(s)$ in the space $E_{1}^{3}$.

The Lorentzian sphere $S_{1}^{2}$ of radius $r>0$ and with the center in the origin of the space $E_{1}^{3}$ is defined by $S_{1}^{2}(r)=\left\{p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \in E_{1}^{3}: g(p, p)=r^{2}\right\}$.

Proposition 2.1.1: Let two regular curves be $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $E_{1}^{3} .\{T, N, B\}$ and $\left\{T^{*}, N^{*}, B^{*}\right\}$ are Frenet frames of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively. If the principal normal vectors are linearly dependent, i.e $N=\lambda N^{*}(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$, then $\alpha$ and $\beta$ called Bertrand mates.

Proposition 2.1.2: Let two regular curves be $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $E_{1}^{3} \cdot\{T, N, B\}$ and $\left\{T^{*}, N^{*}, B^{*}\right\}$ are Frenet frames of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively. If the tangent vectors of these curves are perpendicular to each other, i.e $<T, T^{*}>=0$, then $\alpha$ is involute of $\beta$.

Proposition 2.1.3: Let $\varphi=\varphi(s)$ and $\varphi^{*}=\varphi^{*}(s)$ be simple closed curves in $E_{1}^{3}$. These curves will be denoted by $C$. The normal plane at every point $P$ on the curve meets the curve at a single point $Q$ other than $P$ we call the point $Q$ the opposite point of $P$. We consider this curves having parallel tangents $T$ and $T^{*}$ opposite directions at opposite points $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{*}$ of the curve, then $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{*}$ curves called constant breadth, see [9].

## 3 Type-2 Bishop Frame of a Regular Curve in $E_{1}^{3}$

Theorem 3.1.1: Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be spacelike curve with a spacelike principal normal unit speed. If $\left\{\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, B\right\}$ is adapted frame, then we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{3.1.1}\\
\Omega_{2}^{\prime} \\
B^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \xi_{1} \\
0 & 0 & -\xi_{2} \\
-\xi_{1} & -\xi_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{1} \\
\Omega_{2} \\
B
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof: Let investigate "Type-2 Bishop Frame in $E_{1}^{3 "}$ relation with Serret-Frenet frame, where $g\left(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)=g\left(\Omega_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)=1, g(B, B)=-1$, and $g\left(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right)=g\left(\Omega_{1}, B\right)=g\left(\Omega_{2}, B\right)=0$. If $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ are spacelike vectors but $B$ timelike vector then we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{1}^{\prime} & =a_{11} \Omega_{1}+a_{12} \Omega_{2}+a_{13} B \\
\Omega_{2}^{\prime} & =a_{21} \Omega_{1}+a_{22} \Omega_{2}+a_{23} B  \tag{3.1.2}\\
B^{\prime} & =a_{31} \Omega_{1}+a_{32} \Omega_{2}+a_{33} B
\end{align*}
$$

If we take inner product of equations (3.1.2) according to $\left\{\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, B\right\}$ respectively, we find $a_{11}=0$, $a_{12}=<\Omega_{1}^{\prime}, \Omega_{2}>, a_{13}=-<\Omega_{1}^{\prime}, B>, a_{21}=<\Omega_{2}^{\prime}, \Omega_{1}>, a_{22}=0, a_{23}=-<\Omega_{2}^{\prime}, B>$, $a_{31}=<\Omega_{1}, B^{\prime}>=-a_{13}, a_{32}=<\Omega_{2}, B^{\prime}>=a_{23}, a_{33}=0$. From above equations the Bishop frame has

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{1}^{\prime} \\
\Omega_{2}^{\prime} \\
B^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
-a_{12} & 0 & a_{23} \\
-a_{13} & a_{23} & 0
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{1} \\
\Omega_{2} \\
B
\end{array}\right]
$$

Considering the obtained frame, $a_{12}=0, a_{13}=\xi_{1}, a_{23}=-\xi_{2}$. We have type- 2 Bishop frame in $E_{1}^{3}$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{3.1.3}\\
\Omega_{2}^{\prime} \\
B^{\wedge}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \xi_{1} \\
0 & 0 & -\xi_{2} \\
-\xi_{1} & -\xi_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{1} \\
\Omega_{2} \\
B
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus we have equation (3.1.3) or shortly $X^{\prime}=A X$. Morever $A$ is semi skew matrix where $\xi_{1}$ first curvature and $\xi_{2}$ called second curvature of the curve, there the curvatures are defined by

$$
\xi_{1}=-<\Omega_{1}^{\prime}, B>, \quad \xi_{2}=<\Omega_{2}^{\prime}, B>
$$

Theorem 3.1.2: Let $\{T, N, B\}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, B\right\}$ be Frenet ve Bishop frames, respectively. There exists a relation between them as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
T  \tag{3.1.4}\\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sinh \theta(s) & \cosh \theta(s) & 0 \\
\cosh \theta(s) & \sinh \theta(s) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{1} \\
\Omega_{2} \\
B
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between the vectors $N$ and $\Omega_{1}$.
Proof: We write the tangent vector according to frame $\left\{\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, B\right\}$ as

$$
T=\sinh \theta(s) \Omega_{1}+\cosh \theta(s) \Omega_{2}
$$

and differentiate with respect to $s$

$$
\begin{gather*}
T^{\prime}=\kappa N=\theta^{\prime}(s)\left[\cosh \theta(s) \Omega_{1}+\sinh \theta(s) \Omega_{2}\right]+  \tag{3.1.3}\\
\sinh \theta(s) \Omega_{1}^{\prime}+\cosh \theta(s) \Omega_{2}^{\prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting $\Omega_{1}^{1}=\xi_{1} B$ and $\Omega_{2}^{1}=-\xi_{2} B$ to equation (3.3), we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\kappa N=\theta^{\prime}(s) & {[ }
\end{array} \cosh \theta(s) \Omega_{1}+\sinh \theta(s) \Omega_{2}\right] ~ 子 ~\left(\sinh \theta(s) \Omega_{1}-\cosh \theta(s) \Omega_{2}\right.
$$

From equation (3.1.4) we get $\theta(s)=\operatorname{Arg} \tanh \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}, \theta^{\prime}(s)=\kappa(s), N=\cosh \theta(s) \Omega_{1}+\sinh \theta(s) \Omega_{2}$, and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
T  \tag{3.1.4}\\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sinh \theta(s) & \cosh \theta(s) & 0 \\
\cosh \theta(s) & \sinh \theta(s) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{1} \\
\Omega_{2} \\
B
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since there is a solition for $\theta$ satisyfing any initial condition, this show that localy relatively parallel normal fields exist. Besides equation (3.1.2) can also written as

$$
B^{\prime}=\tau N=-\xi_{1} \Omega_{1}+\xi_{2} \Omega_{2}
$$

Taking the norm of both sides, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\sqrt{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|} \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\sqrt{\left|\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\tau}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{\tau}\right)^{2}\right|} \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so by (3.1.5), we may express
$\left\{\xi_{1}=\tau(s) \cosh \theta(s), \quad \xi_{2}=\tau(s) \sinh \theta(s)\right.$
The frame $\left\{\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, B\right\}$ is properly oriented, and $\tau$ and $\theta(s)={ }_{0}^{s} \kappa(s) d s$ are polar coordinates for the curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$. We shall call the set $\left\{\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, B, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}$ as type- 2 Bishop invariants of the curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ in $E_{1}^{3}$.

## 4 New Spherical Images of a Regular Curve

Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular curve in $E_{1}^{3}$. If we translate type- 2 Bishop frame vectors to the center $O$ of Lorentzian sphere of three-dimensional Minkowski space, we introduce new spherical images in $E_{1}^{3}$.

## 4.1 $\Omega_{1}$ Bishop Spherical Image

Definition 4.1.1: Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular spacelike curve in $E_{1}^{3}$. If we translate of the first vector field of type-2 Bishop frame to the center $O$ of the unit sphere $S_{1}^{2}$, we obtain a spherical image $\varphi=\varphi\left(s_{\varphi}\right)$. This curve is called $\Omega_{1}$ Bishop spherical image or indicatrix of the curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$.

Let $\varphi=\varphi\left(s_{\varphi}\right)$ be $\Omega_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$. We shall investigate relations among type-2 Bishop and Serret-Frenet invariants. First, we differentiate

$$
\varphi^{\prime}=\frac{d \varphi}{d s_{\varphi}} \cdot \frac{d s_{\varphi}}{d s}=\xi_{1} B
$$

Here, we shall denote differentiation according to $s$ by a dash, and differentiation according to $s_{\varphi}$ by a dot. Taking the norm both sides the equation above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\varphi}=B, \quad \frac{d s_{\varphi}}{d s}=\xi_{1} \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we differentiate (4.1.1) ${ }_{1}$ as

$$
T_{\varphi}^{\prime}=\dot{T}_{\varphi} \frac{d s_{\varphi}}{d s}=-\left(\xi_{1} \Omega_{1}+\xi_{2} \Omega_{2}\right)
$$

So, we have

$$
\dot{T}_{\varphi}=-\left(\Omega_{1}+\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}} \Omega_{2}\right)
$$

Since, we have the first curvature and principal normal of $\varphi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\varphi}=\left\|\dot{T}_{\varphi}\right\|=\sqrt{\left|\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}\right)^{2}-1\right|}, \quad N_{\varphi}=\frac{-1}{\kappa_{\varphi}}\left(\Omega_{1}-\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}} \Omega_{2}\right) \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cross product of $T_{\varphi} \times N_{\varphi}$ gives us the binormal vector field of $\Omega_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of $\alpha=\alpha(s)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\varphi}}\left(-\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}} \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right) \tag{4.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the formula of the torsion, we write a relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\varphi}=\frac{\left(\xi_{1}\right)^{7} \cdot\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|} \tag{4.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4.2 $\Omega_{2}$ Bishop Spherical Image

Definition 4.2.1: Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular spacelike curve in $E_{1}^{3}$.If we translate of the second vector field of type-2 Bishop frame to the center of the unit sphere $S_{1}^{2}$, we obtain a spherical image $\beta=\beta\left(s_{\beta}\right)$. This curve is called $\Omega_{2}$ Bishop spherical image or indicatrix of the curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$.

Let $\beta=\beta\left(s_{\beta}\right)$ be $\Omega_{2}$ Bishop spherical image of the regular curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$. We can write that

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\frac{d \beta}{d s_{\beta}} \cdot \frac{d s_{\beta}}{d s}=-\xi_{2} B
$$

Similar to $\Omega_{2}$ Bishop spherical image, one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\beta}=-B, \quad \frac{d s_{\beta}}{d s}=\xi_{2} \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by differentiating of the formula $(4.2 .1)_{1}$, we get

$$
T_{\beta}^{\prime}=\dot{T}_{\beta} \frac{d s_{\beta}}{d s}=\xi_{1} \Omega_{1}+\xi_{2} \Omega_{2}
$$

or in other words

$$
\dot{T}_{\beta}=\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}} \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}
$$

Since, we express

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\beta}=\left\|\dot{T}_{\beta}\right\|=\sqrt{\left|1-\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}\right)^{2}\right|}, \quad N_{\beta}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\beta}}\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}} \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right) \tag{4.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cross product of $T_{\varphi} \times N_{\varphi}$ gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\beta}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\beta}}\left(\Omega_{1}+\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}} \Omega_{2}\right) \tag{4.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the formula of the torsion, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\beta}=\frac{\left(\xi_{2}\right)^{7} \cdot\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|} \tag{4.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3 Binormal Bishop Spherical Image

Definition 4.3.1: Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular spacelike curve in $E_{1}^{3}$. If we translate of the third vector field of type-2 Bishop frame to the center O of the unit sphere $S_{1}^{2}$, we obtain a spherical image $\phi=\phi\left(s_{\phi}\right)$. This curve is called Binormal Bishop spherical image or indicatrix of the curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$.

Let $\phi=\phi\left(s_{\phi}\right)$ be Binormal Bishop spherical image of a regular spacelike curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$. One can differentiate of $\phi$ with respect to $s$ :

$$
\phi^{\prime}=\frac{d \phi}{d s_{\phi}} \cdot \frac{d s_{\phi}}{d s}=-\left(\xi_{1} \Omega_{1}+\xi_{2} \Omega_{2}\right)
$$

In terms of type-2 Bishop frame vector fields, we have tangent vector of the spherical image as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\phi}=\frac{-\left(\xi_{1} \Omega_{1}+\xi_{2} \Omega_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|}}, \quad \frac{d s_{\phi}}{d s}=\sqrt{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|} \tag{4.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to determine first curvature of $\phi$, we write

$$
\dot{T}_{\phi}=P^{\prime}(s) \Omega_{1}+Q^{\prime}(s) \Omega_{2}+\left[P(s) \xi_{1}-Q(s) \xi_{2}\right] B
$$

where $P(s)=\frac{\xi_{1}}{\sqrt{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|}} \quad$ and $\quad Q(s)=\frac{\xi_{2}}{\sqrt{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi 1\right|}}$.
Since, we immediately arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa_{\phi}=\left\|\dot{T}_{\phi}\right\|=  \tag{4.3.2}\\
& \quad \sqrt{\left.\mid\left(P^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}+\left(Q^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}-\left[P(s) \xi_{1}-Q(s) \xi_{2}\right)\right]^{2} \mid}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have the principal normal

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\phi}=\frac{-1}{\kappa_{\phi}}\left\{P^{\prime}(s) \Omega_{1}+Q^{\prime}(s) \Omega_{2}+\left[P(s) \xi_{1}-Q(s) \xi_{2}\right] B\right\} \tag{4.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the cross product of $T_{\phi} \times N_{\phi}$, we obtain the binormal vector field

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{\phi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\phi} \cdot \sqrt{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|}}\left\{\left[Q(s) \xi_{2}-P(s) \xi_{1}\right] \Omega_{1}+\right.  \tag{4.3.4}\\
& {\left.\left[P(s) \xi_{1}-Q(s) \xi_{2}\right] \Omega_{2}-\left[Q^{\prime}(s) \xi_{1}+P^{\prime}(s) \xi_{2}\right] B\right\} }
\end{align*}
$$

where $P(s)=\frac{\xi_{1}}{\sqrt{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|}} \quad$ and $\quad Q(s)=\frac{\xi_{2}}{\sqrt{\left|\xi_{2}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right|}}$.

By means of obtained equations, we express the torsion of the Binormal Bishop spherical image

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{\phi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\phi}^{2}}\left\{\xi _ { 1 } \left[\xi_{1} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}^{\prime}+\xi_{2} \xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right) \xi_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{4.3.5}\\
& \\
& \quad+\xi_{2}\left[\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right) \xi_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\xi_{1} \xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{1}^{\prime} \xi_{2} \xi_{2}^{\prime}-\xi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, we determined Serret-Frenet invariants of the Binormal Bishop spherical image according to type-2 Bishop invariants in $E_{1}^{3}$.

## 5 Main Results

Theorem 5.1.1: Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular spacelike curve in 3-dimensional Minkowski space. Both of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ spherical image of $\alpha$ are Bertrand mates.

Proof: Let us denote the principal normal vectors of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ and binormal spherical images as $N_{\varphi}, N_{\beta}$ and $N_{\phi}$ respectively.

The principal normal vectors are given in $(4.1 .2)_{2},(4.2 .2)_{2},(4.3 .3)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\varphi}}\left(\Omega_{1}-\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}} \Omega_{2}\right), \quad N_{\beta} & =\frac{1}{\kappa_{\beta}}\left(-\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}} \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right) \\
N_{\phi}= & \frac{1}{\kappa_{\phi}}\left\{\left(\frac{-P(s) P^{\prime}(s)}{\xi_{1}}\right) \Omega_{1}\right. \\
& -\left(\frac{Q(s) Q^{\prime}(s)}{\xi_{2}}\right) \Omega_{2} \\
+ & {\left.\left.\left[Q(s) \xi_{2}-P(s) \xi_{1}\right)\right] B\right\} }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(s)=\frac{-\xi_{1}}{\sqrt{\left|\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right|}} \quad Q(s)=\frac{\xi_{2}}{\sqrt{\left|\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right|}} \\
& \kappa_{\varphi}=\sqrt{\left|1-\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}}\right)^{2}\right|} \kappa_{\beta}=\sqrt{\left|\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right|} \\
& \kappa_{\phi}=\sqrt{\left.\left(\frac{P(s) P^{\prime}(s)}{\xi_{1}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{Q(s) Q^{\prime}(s)}{\xi_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left[Q(s) \xi_{2}-P(s) \xi_{1}\right)\right]^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By putting curvatures $\kappa_{\varphi}$ and $\kappa_{\beta}$ of $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ spherical images, we have the principal normal vectors as

$$
N_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\varphi}}\left(\Omega_{1}-\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}} \Omega_{2}\right), \quad N_{\beta}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\beta}}\left(-\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}} \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right)
$$

It can be seen $N_{\varphi}=-N_{\beta}$, so the principal normal vectors of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ spherical images are linearly dependent. As a result of this from proposition 2.1.1, they are Bertrand mates.

Theorem 5.1.2: Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular curve in 3-dimensional Minkowski space. Both of $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ and $B$ spherical image of $\alpha$. Both of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ spherical images of $\alpha$ are spherical involutes for binormal spherical image of $\alpha$.

Proof: Let us denote the tangent vectors of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ spherical images as $T_{\varphi}, T_{\beta}$ and $T_{\phi}$ respectively. These tangent vectors are given in $(4.1 .1)_{1},(4.2 .1)_{1}$ and $(4.3 .3)_{1}$. If the inner products are calculated, we get

$$
<T_{\varphi}, T_{\phi}>=0, \quad<T_{\beta}, T_{\phi}>=0
$$

The tangent vectors of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ spherical images are perpendicular to tangent vectors of binormal spherical images. So the proof is completed from proposition 2.1.2.

Theorem 5.1.3: Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular curve in 3-dimensional Minkowski space. Both of $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ and $B$ spherical image of $\alpha$. Binormal vector of $\Omega_{1}$ are orthogonal to normal vector $\Omega_{2}$.

Proof: Let us denote the binormal vectors of $\Omega_{1}$ and principal normal vector of $\Omega_{2}, B_{\varphi}$ and $N_{\beta}$ respectively. From (4.1.3), (4.2.2) 2 this vectors are given

$$
B_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\varphi}}\left(-\frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{1}} \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right), \quad N_{\beta}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\beta}}\left(-\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}} \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right)
$$

If the Lorentzian inner product of $B_{\varphi}$ and $N_{\beta}$ are calculated, we get $<B_{\varphi}, N_{\beta}>=0$. It can be seen that, Binormal vector of $\Omega_{1}$ and normal vector $\Omega_{2}$ are perpendicular.

Theorem 5.1.4: Let $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ be a regular curve in 3-dimensional Minkowski space. Both of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ spherical images curves of $\alpha$ are constant breadth.

Proof: Let us denote the tangent vectors of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ spherical images as $T_{\varphi}, T_{\beta}$ and $T_{\phi}$ respectively. These tangent vectors are given in $(4.1 .1)_{1},(4.2 .1)_{1}$ and $(4.3 .3)_{1}$.

$$
T_{\varphi}=-B, \quad T_{\beta}=B, \quad T_{\phi}=\frac{-\xi_{1} \Omega_{1}+\xi_{2} \Omega_{2}}{\sqrt{\left|\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right|}}
$$

It can be seen that $T_{\varphi}=-T_{\beta}$. From proposition 2.1.3 they are constant breadth.

## 6 Example

In this section, we illustrate one example of Frenet frame and new spherical images in $E_{1}^{3}$.
Example 6.1.2: Next, let us consider the following unit speed curve $w(s)$ of $E_{1}^{3}$ by $w=$ $w(s)=(s, \sqrt{2} \ln (\operatorname{sech}(s)), \sqrt{2} \arctan (\sinh (s)))$. It is rendered in figure 1.

And this curves's curvature functions are expressed as in $E_{1}^{3}$
$\{\kappa(s)=\sqrt{2} \operatorname{sech}(s), \quad \tau(s)=\operatorname{sech}(s)$.
The Serret-Frenet frame of the $w=w(s)$ may be written by the aid Mathematical program as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=(1, \sqrt{2} \tanh (s), \sqrt{2} \operatorname{sech}(s)), \\
& N=(0, \operatorname{sech}(s),-\tanh (s)) \\
& B=(\sqrt{2},-\tanh (s), \operatorname{sech}(s)) \\
& \theta(s)=\sqrt{2}{ }_{0}^{s} \sec h(s) d s=\sqrt{2} \arctan (\sinh (s))
\end{aligned}
$$

Using transformation matrix equation (3.1.4) we get $w=w(s)$ and tangent, normal, binormal spherical images of unit speed curve with respect to Serret-Frenet frame. respectively Fig 1,2a, 2b, 2c.we have type-2 Bishop spherical images of the unit speed curve $w=w(s)$, see figures 3a,3b,3c

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}=\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \theta+\cosh ^{2} \theta}(-\sinh \theta,-\sqrt{2} \tanh \theta \sec h \theta-\cosh \theta \sec h \theta \\
& \quad,-\sqrt{2} \sinh \theta \sec h \theta-\cosh \theta \tanh \theta) \\
& \Omega_{2}=\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \theta+\cosh ^{2} \theta}(\cosh \theta, \sqrt{2} \tanh \theta \cosh \theta-\sinh \theta \sec h \theta \\
& , \sqrt{2} \cosh \theta \sec h \theta-\sinh \theta \tanh \theta) \\
& B=(\sqrt{2},-\tanh (s), \sec h(s))
\end{aligned}
$$
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