

Article

Spin Coefficients & Lanczos Spinor

V. Barrera-Figueroa¹, J. López-Bonilla^{*2} & R. López-Vázquez²

¹SEPI-UPIITA, Instituto Politécnico Nacional (IPN), Posgrado en Tecnología Avanzada, Av. IPN 2580,

Col. Barrio la Laguna 07340, CDMX, México

²ESIME-Zacatenco, IPN, Edif. 5, 1er. Piso, Lindavista 07738, CDMX, México

Abstract

The covariant derivative ∇_{AB} of the spin-frame metric ε_{CD} is zero, then here we show that this fact implies the existence of a spinor γ_{ABCD} whose components in the dyad (o^A, ι^B) are the spin coefficients of the corresponding null tetrad. Besides, we exhibit the tensor associated to γ_{EFGH} via the Infeld-van der Waerden symbols (with the important participation of the Wünsch's vectors), which generates a possible link between the Lanczos spinor and γ_{ABCD} .

Keywords: Newman-Penrose formalism, spin coefficients, Lanczos potential, Wünsch's vectors, null tetrad, two-component spinors.

1. Introduction

The spinor dyad (o^A, ι^B) , with $o_A \iota^A = 1$, gives the null tetrad of Newman-Penrose [1, 2]:

$$l^\mu \leftrightarrow o^A o^{\dot{B}}, \quad n^\mu \leftrightarrow \iota^A \iota^{\dot{B}}, \quad m^\mu \leftrightarrow o^A \iota^{\dot{B}}, \quad \bar{m}^\mu \leftrightarrow \iota^A o^{\dot{B}}, \quad (1)$$

and the spin-frame metric [3]:

$$\varepsilon_{AB} = o_A \iota_B - o_B \iota_A, \quad (2)$$

such that $\nabla_{C\dot{D}} \varepsilon_{AB} = 0$, that is:

$$\iota_A \nabla_{C\dot{D}} o_B - o_A \nabla_{C\dot{D}} \iota_B = \iota_B \nabla_{C\dot{D}} o_A - o_B \nabla_{C\dot{D}} \iota_A, \quad (3)$$

which introduces the spinor [4]:

$$\gamma_{EFGH} = \iota_E \nabla_{C\dot{D}} o_F - o_E \nabla_{C\dot{D}} \iota_F, \quad (4)$$

and (3) implies the symmetry $\gamma_{ABCD} = \gamma_{BACD}$.

In Sec. 2 we see that the components of (4) in the dyad (o^A, ι^B) are the twelve spin coefficients [1, 2, 5] of the null tetrad (1). In Sec. 3 we employ the Infeld-van der Waerden symbols [6] to determine the tensor associated to γ_{EFGH} , with the important presence of the Wünsch's vectors [7-9], which suggests a possible relationship [4] between (4) and the Lanczos spinor [10, 11].

* Correspondence: J. López-Bonilla, ESIME-Zacatenco-IPN, Edif. 5, Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México
 E-mail: jlopezb@ipn.mx

2. Spin coefficients

From the analysis realized in [5] is immediate the spinor covariant derivative of the dyad (o^A, ι^B) :

$$\nabla_{C\dot{D}} o_B = (\gamma o_C o_{\dot{D}} + \varepsilon \iota_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \alpha o_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \beta \iota_C o_{\dot{D}}) o_B + (-\tau o_C o_{\dot{D}} - \kappa \iota_C \iota_{\dot{D}} + \rho o_C \iota_{\dot{D}} + \sigma \iota_C o_{\dot{D}}) \iota_B, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla_{C\dot{D}} \iota_B = (\nu o_C o_{\dot{D}} + \pi \iota_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \lambda o_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \mu \iota_C o_{\dot{D}}) o_B - (\gamma o_C o_{\dot{D}} + \varepsilon \iota_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \alpha o_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \beta \iota_C o_{\dot{D}}) \iota_B,$$

then (4) acquires the structure:

$$\gamma_{ABC\dot{D}} = \iota_A \iota_B (\rho o_C \iota_{\dot{D}} + \sigma \iota_C o_{\dot{D}} - \tau o_C o_{\dot{D}} - \kappa \iota_C \iota_{\dot{D}}) - o_A o_B (\nu o_C o_{\dot{D}} + \pi \iota_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \lambda o_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \mu \iota_C o_{\dot{D}}) + (o_A \iota_B + o_B \iota_A) (\gamma o_C o_{\dot{D}} + \varepsilon \iota_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \alpha o_C \iota_{\dot{D}} - \beta \iota_C o_{\dot{D}}), \quad (6)$$

and therefore the spin coefficients of the corresponding null tetrad are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= o^A o^B o^C o_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, & \sigma &= o^A o^B o^C \iota_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, & \rho &= o^A o^B \iota^C o_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, \\ \nu &= \iota^A \iota^B \iota^C \iota_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, & \lambda &= \iota^A \iota^B \iota^C o_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, & \mu &= \iota^A \iota^B o^C \iota_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, \\ \tau &= o^A o^B \iota^C \iota_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, & \varepsilon &= \iota^A o^B o^C o_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, & \alpha &= o^A \iota^B \iota^C o_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, \\ \pi &= \iota^A \iota^B o^C o_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, & \gamma &= o^A \iota^B \iota^C \iota_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}, & \beta &= \iota^A o^B o^C \iota_{\dot{D}} \gamma_{ABC}{}^{\dot{D}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The expressions (7) for κ and σ imply that the congruence $\Gamma(l^a)$ is geodesic and shear-free if and only if $o^A o^B o^C \gamma_{ABC\dot{D}} = 0$, that is [12]:

$$\kappa = \sigma = 0 \iff o^B o^C \nabla_{C\dot{D}} o_B = 0, \quad (8)$$

which is important to constructing the Kerr-Schild spacetimes [1, 13].

3. Wünsch's vectors

It is easy to see that in (6) are the spinorial versions of the Wünsch's vectors [7-9]:

$$L_a = \nu l_a + \pi n_a - \lambda m_a - \mu \bar{m}_a, \quad M_a = \gamma l_a + \varepsilon n_a - \alpha m_a - \beta \bar{m}_a, \quad (9)$$

$$N_a = -\tau l_a - \kappa n_a + \rho m_a + \sigma \bar{m}_a ;$$

besides the anti-symmetric tensors [11, 14]:

$$V_{ab} = l_a \times m_b , \quad U_{ab} = \bar{m}_a \times n_b , \quad M_{ab} = m_a \times \bar{m}_b + n_a \times l_b , \quad (10)$$

allow to deduce the relations:

$$o_A o_B = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_A^a \dot{\sigma}_{BQ}^b V_{ab} , \quad l_A l_B = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_A^a \dot{\sigma}_{BQ}^b U_{ab} , \quad o_A l_B + o_B l_A = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_A^a \dot{\sigma}_{BQ}^b M_{ab} , \quad (11)$$

therefore if we use (9) and (11) into (6) appears the tensor:

$$W_{abr} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (V_{ab} L_r - U_{ab} N_r + M_{ab} M_r) , \quad (12)$$

that under the action of the Infeld-van der Waerden symbols leads to (4):

$$\gamma_{ABCD} = \sigma_A^a \dot{\sigma}_{BQ}^b \sigma_{CQ}^r \dot{\sigma}_{DQ}^s W_{abr} , \quad (13)$$

which is equivalent to (2.18) of Torres del Castillo [6].

In [9] was proved that for arbitrary spacetimes with Petrov types O, N or III [15], the Newman-Penrose components of (9) determine the corresponding Lanczos potential [16-18]; hence the presence in (12) of the Wünsch's vectors suggests some possible link between γ_{ABCD} and the Lanczos spinor L_{ABCD} [8, 10, 11, 19, 20], which we will study in other paper in accordance with the approach indicated by Andersson-Edgar [4].

Received October 30, 2016; Accepted November 20, 2016

References

1. H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations*, Cambridge University Press (2003).
2. E. Newman, R. Penrose, *Spin-coefficient formalism*, Scholarpedia **4**, No. 6 (2009) 7445 [www.scholarpedia.org/article/Spin-coefficient_formalism].
3. O. Veblen, *Geometry of two-component spinors*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **19** (1933) 462-474.
4. F. Andersson, S. B. Edgar, *Spin coefficients as Lanczos scalars: Underlying spinor relations*, J. Math. Phys. **41**, No. 5 (2000) 2990-3001.
5. J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez, J. Morales, G. Ovando, *Spin coefficients formalism*, Prespacetime Journal **6**, No. 8 (2015) 697-709.

6. G. F. Torres del Castillo, *Spinors in four-dimensional spaces*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2010).
7. V. Wünsch, *Charakterisierung von Raum-Zeit-Mannigfaltigkeiten durch Relationen zwischen ihren Krümmungs-spinoren unter Benutzung eines modifizierten Newman-Penrose-Kalküls*, Math. Nachr. **89** (1979) 321-336.
8. R. Illge, *Some general ansätze for the Lanczos potential spinor*, Math. Nachr. **278**, No. 14 (2005) 1681-1688.
9. J. López-Bonilla, I. Miranda-Sánchez, D. Romero-Jiménez, *Wünsch's vectors and Lanczos potential*, Prespacetime Journal **7**, No. 5 (2016) 780-782.
10. G. Bergqvist, *Spinors and conformal curvature*, III International Meeting on Lorentzian Geometry, Castelldefels-Barcelona, Spain, Nov. 21-23, 2005.
11. J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez, J. Morales, G. Ovando, *Maxwell, Lanczos and Weyl spinors*, Prespacetime Journal **6**, No. 6 (2015) 509-520.
12. J. W. Dalhuisen, *The Robinson congruence in electrodynamics and general relativity*, Ph. D. Thesis, Leiden University (2014).
13. R. O. Hansen, E. Newman, *A complex Minkowski space approach to twistors*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **6**, No. 4 (1975) 361-385.
14. P. J. Greenberg, *The algebra of the Riemann curvature tensor in general relativity: Preliminaries*, Stud. Appl. Maths. **51**, No. 3 (1972) 361-385.
15. V. Barrera-Figueroa, J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez, S. Vidal-Beltrán, *Algebraic classification of the Weyl tensor*, Prespacetime Journal **7**, No. 3 (2016) 445-455.
16. C. Lanczos, *The splitting of the Riemann tensor*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **34**, No. 3 (1962) 379-389.
17. G. Ares de Parga, O. Chavoya, J. López-Bonilla, *Lanczos potential*, J. Math. Phys. **30**, No. 6 (1989) 1294-1295.
18. P. O'Donnell, H. Pye, *A brief historical review of the important developments in Lanczos potential theory*, EJTP **7**, No. 24 (2010) 327-350.
19. W. F. Maher, J. D. Zund, *A spinor approach to the Lanczos spintensor*, Nuovo Cim. A**57**, No. 4 (1968) 638-648.
20. J. D. Zund, *The theory of the Lanczos spinor*, Ann. di Mat. Pura Appl. **109**, No. 1 (1975) 239-268.