

On Some Expressions for $\zeta(k)$, $k = 2, 3, 4$

V. Barrera-Figueroa¹, R. Cruz-Santiago² & J. López-Bonilla^{*2}

¹Posgrado en Tecnología Avanzada, SEPI-UPIITA, Instituto Politécnico Nacional (IPN), Av. IPN 2580, Col. Barrio la Laguna-Ticomán, CP 07340, CDMX, México

²ESIME-Zacatenco, IPN, Edif. 5, 1er. Piso, Lindavista 07738, CDMX, México

Abstract

We obtain the hypergeometric form of $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^m \binom{2r}{r}}$, $m = 2, 3, 4$.

Keywords: Riemann zeta function, Mathematica, Hypergeometric functions.

1. Introduction

In the literature [1-6] we find the following expressions for three values of the Riemann zeta function [7, 8]:

$$S_2 \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^2 \binom{2r}{r}} = \frac{1}{3} \zeta(2), \quad S_3 \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^3 \binom{2r}{r}} = \frac{2}{5} \zeta(3), \quad S_4 \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^4 \binom{2r}{r}} = \frac{17}{36} \zeta(4), \quad (1)$$

here we shall show their hypergeometric version. It is interesting to note that this formula for $\zeta(3)$ is important to prove its irrationality [9-11]; we know that [12-15] $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and $\zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$, hence $S_2 = \frac{\pi^2}{18}$ and $S_4 = \frac{17\pi^4}{3240}$.

2. Formulae of Euler, Hjortnaes, Melzak, Comtet and Apéry

From (1):

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k, \quad t_k = \frac{2}{(k+1)^2 \binom{2k+2}{k+1}}, \quad t_0 = 1, \quad \frac{t_{k+1}}{t_k} = \frac{(k+1)^2}{4(k+2)(k+\frac{3}{2})},$$

then it is immediate [16-18] the hypergeometric form:

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2} {}_3F_2\left(1, 1, 1; 2, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{4}\right). \quad (2)$$

* Correspondence: J. López-Bonilla, ESIME-Zacatenco-IPN, Edif. 5, Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México
E-mail: jllopezb@ipn.mx

Similarly, for $m = 3, 4$:

$$S_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k, \quad t_k = \frac{2(-1)^{mk}}{(k+1)^m \binom{2k+2}{k+1}}, \quad t_0 = 1, \quad \frac{t_{k+1}}{t_k} = \frac{(-1)^m (k+1)^m}{4(k+2)^{m-1} (k+\frac{3}{2})}, \quad (3)$$

therefore:

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{2} {}_4F_3 \left(1, 1, 1, 1; 2, 2, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{1}{4} \right), \quad S_4 = \frac{1}{2} {}_5F_4 \left(1, 1, 1, 1, 1; 2, 2, 2, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{4} \right), \quad (4)$$

and Mathematica gives the values $\frac{\pi^2}{9}$, $\frac{4}{5} \zeta(3)$ and $1.022194165 \dots = \frac{17\pi^4}{1620}$ for the hypergeometric functions ${}_3F_2$, ${}_4F_3$ and ${}_5F_4$, respectively, present at (2) and (4), which is equivalent to (1).

In [3] the authors indicate that Euler [19] deduced the expression:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2x)^{2r}}{r^2 \binom{2r}{r}} = 2 (\arcsin x)^2, \quad (5)$$

that for $x = \frac{1}{2}$ implies the relation (1) for S_2 [1, 20]; van der Poorten [11] comments that the formula (1) for S_3 obtained by Apéry [1] was proved by Hjortnaes [21]. Our procedure can be applied to show diverse identities, for example [1, 3, 5, 6]:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2r}{r}} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{27}, \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r \binom{2r}{r}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{9}, \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{r \binom{2r}{r}} = \frac{2 \operatorname{Ln} \phi}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^r}{r^2 \binom{2r}{r}} = \frac{2\pi^2}{9}, \text{ etc.} \quad (6)$$

where $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio [22].

Received August 12, 2016; Accepted August 21, 2016

References

1. L. Comtet, *Advanced combinatorial analysis*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht (1974).
2. I. Zucker, *On the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^n \binom{2k}{k}}$ and related sums*, J. Number Theory **20**, No. 1 (1985) 92-102.
3. J. M. Borwein, P. B. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1987).
4. G. Almkvist, A. Granville, *Borwein and Bradley's Apéry-like formulae for $\zeta(4n+3)$* , Experimental Mathematics **8**, No. 2 (1999) 197-203.
5. J. Borwein, D. Bailey, R. Girgensohn, *Experimentation in mathematics. Computational paths to discovery*, A. K. Peters, Natick, MA, USA (2004).
6. J. Borwein, K. Devlin, *The computer as crucible. An introduction to experimental mathematics*, A. K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, USA (2009).
7. R. Ayoub, *Euler and the zeta function*, Amer. Math. Monthly **81**, No. 10 (1974) 1067-1086.

8. A. Ivic, *The Riemann zeta function*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1985).
9. R. Apéry, *Irrationalité de $\zeta(2)$ et $\zeta(3)$* , Journées arithmétiques de Luminy. Asterisque **61** (1979) 11-13
10. A. van der Poorten, *A proof that Euler missed – Apéry’s proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$* , Mathematical Intelligencer **1**, No. 4 (1979) 195-203.
11. A. van der Poorten, *Some wonderful formulae*, Macquarie Maths. Report, Kingston Conf., July (1979)
12. L. Euler, *De summis serierum reciprocarum*, Opera Omnia I-14, 73-86 (1738).
13. J. D. Harper, *A simple proof of $1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$* , Amer. Math. Monthly **109**, No. 6 (2003) 540-541.
14. M. Ivan, *A simple solution to Basel problem*, General Maths. **16**, No. 4 (2008) 111-113.
15. M. D. Hirschhorn, *A simple proof that $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$* , Mathematical Intelligencer **33**, No. 3 (2011) 81-82.
16. M. Petkovsek, H. S. Wilf, D. Zeilberger, *A = B, symbolic summation algorithms*, A. K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, USA (1996).
17. W. Koepf, *Hypergeometric summation. An algorithmic approach to summation and special function Identities*, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden (1998).
18. W. Koepf, *Orthogonal polynomials and recurrence equations, operator equations and factorization*, Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis **27** (2007) 113-123.
19. T. J. Bromwich, *An introduction to the theory of infinite series*, Macmillan, London (1926).
20. Z. R. Melzak, *Companion to concrete mathematics*, Wiley-Interscience, New York (1973).
21. Margrethe Munthe Hjortnaes, Proc. 12th Cong. Scand. Maths., Lund, 10-15 Aug. (1953).
22. S. Olsen, *The golden section: Nature’s greatest secret*, Walker Pub., New York (2006).